arXiv:1402.7020v2 [math.CO] 1 Mar 2014

On Weak Integer Additive Set-Indexers of Certain Graph Classes

N K Sudev *and **K A Germina**†

Abstract

An integer additive set-indexer is defined as an injective function $f : V(G) \to 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that the induced function $g_f : E(G) \to 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ defined by $g_f(uv) = f(u) + f(v)$ is also injective. An integer additive set-indexer is said to be k-uniform if $|g_f(uv)| = k$ for all $u, v \in V(G)$. An integer additive set-indexer f is said to be a weak IASI if $|g_f(uv)| = max(|f(u)|, |f(v)|)$ for all $u, v \in V(G)$. The sparing number of a graph G is the minimum number of edges in G with singleton set-labels, so that G admits a weak integer additive set-indexer. In this paper, we study the admissibility of weak integer additive set-indexers by certain graph classes and certain associated graphs of given graphs.

Key Words: Integer additive set-indexers, weak integer additive set - indexers, sparing number.
AMS Subject CLassification: 05C78

1 Introduction

For all terms and definitions, not defined specifically in this paper, we refer to [11] and [4]. Unless mentioned otherwise, all graphs considered here are simple, finite and have no isolated vertices.

Let $\mathbb{N}_0$ denote the set of all non-negative integers. For all $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$, the sum of these sets is denoted by $A + B$ and is defined by $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$. The set $A + B$ is called the sumset of the sets A and B. Using the concepts of sumsets, an integer additive set-indexers as follows.

*Department of Mathematics, Vidya Academy of Science & Technology, Thalakkottukara, Thrissur - 680501, email: *sudevnk@gmail.com*

†Department of Mathematics, School of Mathematical & Physical Sciences, Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod, email:*srgerminaka@gmail.com*

Definition 1.1. [9] An *integer additive set-indexer* (IASI, in short) is defined as an injective function $f : V(G) \to 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that the induced function $g_f : E(G) \to 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ defined by $g_f(uv) = f(u) + f(v)$ is also injective.

An IASI is said to be k-uniform if $|g_f(uv)| = k$ for all $u, v \in V(G)$.

Definition 1.2. [10] The cardinality of the labeling set of an element (vertex or edge) of a graph G is called the *set-indexing number* of that element.

Definition 1.3. [12] An element (a vertex or an edge) of graph which has the set-indexing number 1 is called a *mono-indexed element* of that graph.

Definition 1.4. [10] An IASI f is said to be a *weak IASI* if $|g_f(uv)| = max(|f(u)|, |f(v)|)$ for all $u, v \in V(G)$. A graph which admits a weak IASI is known as a weak IASI graph. In a weak IASI graph G, at least one end vertex of every edge of G has the set-indexing number 1.

Definition 1.5. [12] The *sparing number* of a graph G is defined to be the minimum number of mono-indexed edges required for G to admit a weak IASI and is denoted by $\varphi(G)$.

Theorem 1.6. *[12] An odd cycle C_n has a weak IASI if and only if it has at least one mono-indexed edge. That is, the sparing number of an odd cycle is* 1*.*

Theorem 1.7. *[12] An odd cycle C_n that admits a weak IASI has odd number mono-indexed edges and an even cycle C_n that admits a weak IASI has even number mono-indexed edges.*

Theorem 1.8. *[12] A bipartite graph need not contain any mono-indexed edges. That is, the sparing number of bipartite graphs is* 0*.*

Theorem 1.9. *[12] The complete graph K_n admits a weak IASI if and only if the maximum number of mono-indexed edges of K_n is $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2)$.*

Theorem 1.10. *[13] The union $G_1 \cup G_2$ of two weak IASI graphs G_1 and G_2 admits a weak IASI. Moreover, $\varphi(G_1 \cup G_2) = \varphi(G_1) + \varphi(G_2) - \varphi(G_1 \cap G_2)$.*

In this paper, we discuss first on the admissibility of weak IASI by certain graphs which contain cliques or independent sets or both.

2 New Results

A characterisation of certain graph classes which admit weak IASIs, has been done in [12]. By Theorem 1.9, we understand that a complete graph can have at most one mono-indexed vertex. Therefore, we have,

Proposition 2.1. *The sparing number of a complete graph K_n is equal to the number of triangles which contain the vertex that is not mono-indexed in K_n.*

Proof. Note that $n-1$ edges incident on every vertex v of the complete graph K_n. Every pair among these edges form a triangle with one edge of K_n which does not incident on v. Hence, the number of triangles containing v is ${}^{(n-1)}C_2 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$. By Theorem 1.9, the number of triangles containing the single vertex v, that is not mono-indexed, is $\varphi(K_n)$. □

Definition 2.2. [4] An *n-sun* or a *trampoline*, denoted by S_n, is a chordal graph on $2n$ vertices, where $n \geq 3$, whose vertex set can be partitioned into two sets $U = \{u_1, u_2, c_3, \ldots, u_n\}$ and $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots, w_n\}$ such that U is an independent set of G and u_i is adjacent to w_j if and only if $j = i$ or $j = i + 1$ $(mod\ n)$. *A complete sun* is a sun G where the induced subgraph $\langle U \rangle$ is complete.

Theorem 2.3. *The sparing number of a complete sun graph S_n is $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$.*

Proof. Let U and W be the partitions of $V(S_n)$, where W is an independence set. Since S_n is a complete sun, $\langle U \rangle = K_n$. Let f be a weak IASI on S_n. To label the vertices of S_n, there are two possibilities.

Case-1: Label the vertices of U first. Since $\langle U \rangle = K_n$, by Theorem 1.9, exactly one vertex, say u, in U can have a non-singleton set-label and the sparing number of $\langle U \rangle$ is $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$. Then, two vertices in W that are adjacent to the vertex $u \in U$ must be mono-indexed. Therefore, the number of mono-indexed edges in S_n is $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} + 2 = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - 3n + 6)$. In this case, the number of edges that have non-singleton set-label is $n + 1$.

Case-2: Label the vertices of W first. Since W is an independent set, no two vertices of W are adjacent to each other. Hence, we can label all the vertices of W by distinct non-singleton sets of non-negative integers. Therefore, all the vertices of the component $\langle U \rangle$ must be mono-indexed. In this case, the total number of mono-indexed edges in S_n is $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ and the number of edges that have non-singleton set-label is $2n$.

For any positive integer n, we have $n + 1 \leq 2n$. The number of mono-indexed edges is minimum in Case-2. Hence, $\varphi(S_n) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$. □

Definition 2.4. [4] A *split graph* is a graph in which the vertices can be partitioned into a clique K_r and an independent set S. A split graph is said to be a *complete split graph* if every vertex of the independent set S is adjacent to every vertex of the the clique K_r and is denoted by $K_S(r, s)$, where r and s are the orders of K_r and S respectively.

The following result discusses the sparing number of a split graph.

Theorem 2.5. *The split graph G admits a weak IASI and the sparing number of G is equal to the number of triangles in G containing the vertex that is not mono-indexed in its clique.*

Proof. Let K_r be the clique and S be the independent set in the split graph G. Let $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r\}$ be the vertex set of K_r and $S = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_l\}$. By Theorem 1.9, K_r can have at most one vertex that is not mono-indexed and its sparing number is $\frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{2}$. Choose the vertex u of K_r which is contained in minimum number of triangles with one vertex in S, to label with a non-singleton set. Now let η be the number of triangles that contain the vertex u. If there exists a triangle incident on u_i with one vertex v_j in S, then the edge v_ju_k of the triangle $u_iv_ju_k$ must be mono-indexed. By Corollary 2.1, the number of triangles incident on u in K_r is ${}^{r-1}C_2 = \varphi(K_r)$. Therefore, the number of triangles incident on u with one vertex in S is $\eta - \frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{2}$. Hence, the number of mono-indexed edges in G is $\varphi(G) = \frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{2} + \eta - \frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{2} = \eta$. This completes the proof. □

The following theorem estimates the sparing number of a complete split graph G.

Theorem 2.6. *The sparing number of a complete split graph $K_S(r, s)$ is $\frac{1}{2}r(r-1)$. That is, the sparing number of a complete split graph is equal to the sparing number of the maximal clique in it.*

Proof. Let x be any vertex in the set S. Since x is adjacent to every vertex of K_r, the $K_r + \{x\}$ is a maximal clique in G. Therefore, by Theorem 1.9, the sparing number of a maximal clique in G is $\frac{1}{2}r(r-1)$.

Let $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_r\}$ be the vertex set of K_r and let $S = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots, w_s\}$. To label the vertices of $K_S(r, s)$ we have two possibilities.

Case-1: If the vertices of U are labeled first, then by Theorem 1.9, exactly one vertex of U, say u, can have a non-singleton set-label and the number of mono-indexed edges in $\langle U \rangle = K_r$ is $\frac{1}{2}(r-1)(r-2)$. Since every vertex of S is adjacent to all vertices of U, each vertex $w_j, 1 \leq j \leq s$, in S must be mono-indexed. Therefore, all the edges incident on w_j, except the edge uw_j

are mono-indexed. That is, there exist exactly $n-1$ mono-indexed edges in G corresponding to each vertex in S. Hence, the number of mono-indexed edges between U and S is $s(r-1)$. Therefore, the total number of mono-indexed edges in G is $\frac{1}{2}(r-1)(r-2)+s(r-1)=\frac{1}{2}(r-1)(r+2s)$.

Case-2: If the vertices of S are labeled first, all vertices of S can be labeled by distinct non-singleton sets, since S is an independent set of G. Hence, every vertex of U must be mono-indexed. Hence, the number of mono-indexed edges in $\langle U\rangle$ is $\frac{r(r-1)}{2}$ there is no mono-indexed edges between U and S. Hence, the total number of mono-indexed edges in this case is $\frac{r(r-1)}{2}$.

For any positive integer $s, r < r+2s$ and hence $\frac{1}{2}r(r-1) < \frac{1}{2}(r-1)(r+2s)$. Hence, $\varphi(K_S(r,s)) = \frac{1}{2}r(r-1)$. This completes the proof. □

Our next discussion is on the sparing number of a bisplit graph.

Definition 2.7. [5] An undirected graph $G(V,E)$ is a *bisplit graph* if its vertex set can be partitioned into three stable (independent) sets $X, Y$and Z such that $Y \cup Z$ induces a complete bipartite subgraph (a bi-clique) in G.

Proposition 2.8. *Let G be a bisplit graph and let X, Y, Z be the three partitions of $V(G)$. Then, the sparing number of G is the number of paths of length 2 with internal vertex in the set with the least cardinality.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $|X| \leq |Y| \leq |Z|$. Then, label all the vertices of Z by distinct non-singleton sets and label the vertices of Y by distinct singleton sets. Then, no edges between Y and Z are mono-indexed.

Some vertices of X are adjacent to some vertices in Y or some vertices in Z or some vertices in both. The vertices in X, which are adjacent to the vertices in Y alone, can be labeled by distinct non-singleton sets which have not already been used for labeling the vertices in G. The vertices of X, that are adjacent to the vertices in Z alone, can be labeled by distinct singleton sets that are not used before for labeling the vertices in G. We note that no edges labeled so far are mono-indexed edges.

Assume that a vertex, say v of X is adjacent to a vertex, say u, in Y and to a vertex, say w in Z. Clearly, uvw is a path of length 2 with v as an internal vertex. Since w is not mono-indexed, v can only be labeled by a singleton set, not already used for labeling any vertex in G. Hence, the edge uv is mono-indexed. Therefore, each path of length 2 with its internal vertex in X has a mono-indexed edge. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 2.9. *Let G be a complete bisplit graph and let X, Y, Z be the three partitions of $V(G)$. The sparing number of G is the product of the cardinalities of two of these sets with minimum cardinality.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $|X| \leq |Y| \leq |Z|$. Then, label all the vertices of Z by distinct non-singleton sets and label the vertices of Y by distinct singleton sets. No edges between Y and Z are mono-indexed. Since G is complete bisplit graph, every vertex of X must be adjacent to all the vertices of Y and Z. Hence, every vertex of X must be mono-indexed. Hence, all edges between X and Y are mono-indexed and no edges between X and Z are mono-indexed. Therefore, the number of mono-indexed edges in G is the number of edges between X and Y. Since X and Y are independent sets, the maximum number of edges between X and Y is $|X|\,|Y|$. Therefore, $\varphi(G) = |X|\,|Y|$. □

Note that a complete bisplit graph is a complete tripartite graph. Hence, we rewrite Theorem 2.9 as

Theorem 2.10. *The sparing number of a complete tripartite graph is the product of the cardinalities of the two minimal sets (by cardinality) in the tripartition of G.*

Definition 2.11. [11] A graph is called a *block graph* or a *clique tree* if it is connected and every block is a clique. A graph is a block graph if it can be constructed from a tree by replacing every edge by a clique of arbitrary size, with at most one vertex in common.

The following result discusses about the sparing number of the block graphs.

Theorem 2.12. *Let G be a block graph. Then, G admits a weak IASI and its sparing number G is $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{r}(n_i-1)(n_i-2)$, where r is the number of cliques in G and n_i is the order of the i-th clique in G.*

Proof. A block graph is an edge disjoint union of cliques, any two which have at most one vertex in common. Let $K_{n_1}, K_{n_2}, K_{n_3}, \ldots, K_{n_r}$ be the edge disjoint cliques in G. Since G is a clique tree, if K_{n_i} and K_{n_j} have a common vertex in G and K_{n_j} and K_{n_k} has another common vertex in G, then K_{n_i} and K_{n_k} do not have any common vertex in G. Hence, the total number of mono-indexed edges in G is the sum of mono-indexed edges in each clique K_{n_i}.

By Theorem 1.9, the number of mono-indexed edges in a clique K_{n_i} in G is $\frac{(n_i-1)(n_i-2)}{2}$. Since G is a graph having edge disjoint cliques, the number of mono-indexed edges in G is the sum of the mono-indexed edges in each clique.

Hence, the total number of mono-indexed edges in G is $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{r}(n_i-1)(n_i-2)$. This completes the proof. □

Definition 2.13. [4] A *windmill graph*, denoted by $W(n,r)$, is an undirected graph constructed for $n \geq 2$ and $r \geq 2$ by joining r copies of the complete graph K_n at a shared vertex.

Definition 2.14. [4] A *friendship graph* or a *dutch wind mill* or a *fan graph*, denoted by F_r, is a graph obtained by joining r copies of the cycle graph C_3 with a common vertex. The fan graph F_r is isomorphic to the windmill graph $W(3,r)$.

The following theorem is on the sparing number of windmill graphs.

Theorem 2.15. *A windmill graph $W(n,r)$ admits a weak IASI and the sparing number of $W(n,r)$ is $\frac{r}{2}(n-1)(n-2)$.*

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq r$, let K_{n_i} be the i-th copy of K_n in the windmill graph $W(n,r)$, which admits a weak IASI. Conventionally, we assign the IASI $f_i = i.f$ to the copy K_{n_i}, where f is an IASI defined on K_n. Since any pair of K_{n_i} in G are edge disjoint and has the same vertex in common, the number of mono-indexed edges in $W(n,r)$ is the sum of mono-indexed edges in each K_{n_i}. By Theorem 1.9, each K_{n_i} contains $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ mono-indexed edges. Then, the total number of mono-indexed edges in G is $\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} = \frac{r}{2}(n-1)(n-2)$. Hence $\varphi(W(n,r)) = \frac{r}{2}(n-1)(n-2)$. □

Corollary 2.16. *A friendship graph $F_r = W(3,r)$ admits a weak IASI and the sparing number of F_r is r.*

Proof. Put $n = 3$ in Theorem 2.15. Then, we have the total number of mono-indexed edges in F_r is $\frac{r}{2}(n-1)(n-2) = \frac{r}{2}.2.1 = r$. □

Definition 2.17. [6] The *shadow graph* of a graph G is obtained from G by adding, for each vertex v of G, a new vertex v', called the *shadow vertex* of v, and joining v' to the neighbours of v in G. The shadow graph of a graph G is denoted by $S(G)$.

The following theorem establishes the admissibility of weak IASI by the shadow graph $S(G)$ of a weak IASI graph G and finds out the sparing number of $S(G)$.

Theorem 2.18. *The shadow graph of a weak IASI graph also admits a weak IASI. Moreover,* $\varphi(S(G)) = 2\,\varphi(G)$.

Proof. Let v be an arbitrary vertex of G. Let v' be the shadow vertex of G. If v is adjacent to a single vertex, say u, then v' is also adjacent to u in $S(G)$. Hence, vuv' is a path of length 2 in $S(G)$. If G is a tree, then $S(G)$ is also tree and by Theorem 1.8, $S(G)$ need not have a mono-indexed edge.

If the vertex v is adjacent to two vertices, say u and w in G, then v' is adjacent to u and w in $S(G)$ forming a cycle $C : vuv'wv$ of length 4. Then, by Theorem 1.7, it has even number of mono-indexed edges. That is, if either vu or vw is mono-indexed in G, then the corresponding edge $v'u$ or $v'w$ is also mono-indexed in $S(G)$. Since v is arbitrary, this is true for any vertex of G. Hence, $\varphi(S(G)) = 2\,\varphi(G)$. □

In the following results, we discuss the admissibility of a weak IASI by some other associated graphs of a given IASI graph G.

Definition 2.19. [3] The *subdivision* of some edge $e = uv$ is a graph obtained by introducing one new vertex w to the edge uv, and with two edges replacing e by two new edges, uw and wv. A *subdivision* of a graph G is a graph resulting from the subdivision of edges in G.

Two graphs G and G' are said to be *homeomorphic* if there is an graph isomorphism from some subdivision of G to some subdivision of G'.

The following theorem verifies the admissibility of weak IASI by a homeomorphic graph $G'$of a weak IASI graph G.

Theorem 2.20. *A graph* G' *obtained by the subdivision of an edge* e *of a weak IASI graph* G *admits a weak IASI if and only if* e *is mono-indexed.*

Proof. First observe that if one new element is introduced to an IASI graph in place of another element of G, it is customary to assign the same set-label of the replaced element to the newly introduced element.

First assume that the graph G' obtained by subdividing an edge $e = uv$ of a weak IASI graph G. Let w be the new vertex introduced to the edge e. Then, the set-label of w in G' is the same set-label of e in G. If e is not mono-indexed in G, then either u or v has a non-singleton set-label. Without loss of generality, let v be the vertex which is not mono-indexed. Then, for the edge wv of G' both the end vertices u and v have non-singleton set-labels, which is a contradiction to the hypothesis that G' admits a weak IASI.

Let e be a mono-indexed edge in G. Then, the end vertices u and v of e are mono-indexed in G. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by introducing

a vertex w to the edge e. In G', the vertex w has the same set-label of e in G. Hence, the edge uw and vw in G' are mono-indexed in G'. Hence, G' is a weak IASI graph. □

Remark 2.21. Due to Theorem 2.20, it can be observed that the graph G' obtained by subdividing the mono-indexed edges of a weak IASI graph is also a weak IASI graph. The graph subdivision which is obtained by subdividing all the mono-indexed edges of G is called a *maximal subdivision* of G with respect to the weak IASI of G.

The following result is on the sparing number of a maximal subdivision G' of a weak IASI graph G.

Theorem 2.22. *The sparing number of the maximal subdivision graph G' of a weak IASI graph G is $2\,\varphi(G)$.*

Proof. If a vertex w is introduced to the edge uv of G to get a subdivision G', then by Theorem 2.20, uv is mono-indexed in G. Also, in G' the new edges uw and wv are mono-indexed. That is, corresponding to every mono-indexed edge in G, there exist two mono-indexed edges in its subdivision graph. Therefore, for a maximal subdivision G' of G, $\varphi(G') = 2\,\varphi(G)$. □

Now, recall the following definition.

Definition 2.23. [11] A *cactus* or a *cactus tree* is a connected graph G in which any two simple cycles have at most one vertex in common. A graph G is a cactus if and only if every block in G is either a simple cycle or a single edge. The following result and estimates sparing number of a cactus graph.

Theorem 2.24. *The sparing number of a cactus G is r, where r is the number of odd cycles in G.*

Proof. Let G be a cactus. Then, G may be considered as a union of edge disjoint paths (or trees) and cycles, any two of which has at most one vertex in common. Let C_n be a cycle which admits a weak IASI and let P_m be a path (or T be a tree), which has at most one vertex in common. Then, by Theorem 1.10, $C_n \cup P_m$ (or $C_m \cup T$) admits a weak IASI and $\varphi(C_n \cup P_m) = \varphi(C_m)$ (or $\varphi(C_n \cup T) = \varphi(C_m)$). Hence, in order to estimate the sparing number of a cactus, we need to take the sum of the sparing numbers of all cycles in it.

Let $C_{m_1}, C_{m_2}, C_{m_3}, \ldots, C_{m_n}$ be the edge disjoint cycles in G. Without loss of generality, let $C_{m_1}, C_{m_2}, C_{m_3}, \ldots, C_{m_r}$ be the odd cycles and $C_{m_{r+1}}, C_{m_{r+2}}, \ldots, C_{m_n}$ be the even cycles in G.

Now, assume that G admits a weak IASI, each cycle in G must have a weak IASI, say f_i, where f_i is the restriction of f to the cycle C_{m_i}. By Theorem 1.8, even cycles do not necessarily have a mono-indexed edge and each odd cycles C_i, must have at least one mono-indexed edge. Therefore, G has at least r mono-indexed edges. Hence, $\varphi(G) = r$. □

Our next discussion is on the sparing number of a graph structure called (m, n)-cone. First, recall the definition of an (m, n)-cone.

Definition 2.25. An (m, n)-*cone* or an n-*point suspension*, denoted by $C_{m,n}$ is a graph G whose vertex is partitioned into two sets U and S where S is an independent set and the vertices of U forms a cycle in G such that every vertex of W is adjacent with all vertices of U.

For positive integers m, n, if $\langle U \rangle = C_m$, then $C_{m,n} = C_m + S$, where $n = |S|$.

If $n = 1$, then $C_{m,n}$ reduces to a wheel graph W_{m+1} and, the following result discusses the sparing number of a wheel graph W_{m+1}.

Proposition 2.26. *[13] The sparing number of a wheel graph W_{m+1} is $\lceil \frac{(m-1)}{2} \rceil$.*

Hence, we estimate the sparing number of an (m, n)-cone in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.27. *For $m > 0, n \geq 2$, the sparing number of an (m, n)-cone is m.*

Proof. For $m > 0, n \geq 2$, let $C_{m,n} = C_m + S$, where S be an independent set in G. Now we have the following cases.

Case-1: Let m be an even integer. Hence, C_m is an even cycle and if we label the vertices of C_m first, then by Theorem 1.8, $\varphi(C_m) = 0$ and it has exactly $\frac{m}{2}$ mono-indexed vertices and exactly $\frac{m}{2}$ vertices that are not mono-indexed. Since each vertex w_j of S is adjacent to all vertices of C_m, all vertices of S must be mono-indexed. Therefore, exactly $\frac{m}{2}$ edges incident on each vertex w_j of S are mono-indexed. The number of mono-indexed edges between C_m and S is $\frac{mn}{2}$. Hence, the total number of mono-indexed edges in $C_{m,n}$ in this case is $\frac{mn}{2}$.

If the vertices of S are labeled first, then all vertices of S can be labeled by distinct non-singleton sets, since S is independent set in $C_{m,n}$. Then, every vertex of C_m must be mono-indexed. In this case, no edge between C_m and S is mono-indexed and the total number of mono-indexed edges in $C_{m,n}$ is m.

Since $n \geq 2$, we have $\frac{mn}{2} > m$.

Case-2: Let m be an odd integer. Hence, C_m is an odd cycle and if we label the vertices of C_m first, then by Theorem 1.6, $\varphi(C_m) = 1$ and it has exactly $\frac{(m+1)}{2}$ mono-indexed vertices and exactly $\frac{(m-1)}{2}$ vertices that are not mono-indexed. Since each vertex w_j of S is adjacent to all vertices of C_m, all vertices of S must be mono-indexed. Therefore, exactly $\frac{(m+1)}{2}$ edges incident on each vertex w_j of S are mono-indexed. The number of mono-indexed edges between C_m and S is $\frac{(m+1)n}{2}$. Hence, the total number of mono-indexed edges in $C_{m,n}$ in this case is $1 + \frac{(m+1)n}{2}$.

If the vertices of S are labeled first, then as explained in Case-1, all vertices of S can be labeled by distinct non-singleton sets. Then, every vertex of C_m must be mono-indexed. In this case no edge between C_m and S is mono-indexed and the total number of mono-indexed edges in $C_{m,n}$ is m.

Since $n \geq 2$, we have $1 + \frac{(m+1)n}{2} > m$.

In both cases, the minimum number of mono-indexed edges in $C_{m,n}$ is m. Hence, the sparing number $\varphi(C_{m,n}) = m$. □

3 Conclusion

In this paper, we have established some results on the sparing number of certain graphs and graph classes. The admissibility of weak IASI by various graph classes, graph operations and graph products and finding the corresponding sparing numbers are still open. To find the sparing number of chordal graphs, strongly chordal graphs and an general n-sun etc. are some open problems we have identified in this area.

More properties and characteristics of different types of IASIs, both uniform and non-uniform, are yet to be investigated. There are some more open problems regarding the necessary and sufficient conditions for various graphs and graph classes to admit certain IASIs.

References

[1] B D Acharya,(1983). **Set-Valuations and Their Applications**, MRI Lecture notes in Applied Mathematics, The Mehta Research Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, New Delhi.

[2] T M K Anandavally, (2013). *A Characterisation of 2-Uniform IASI Graphs*, Int. Journal of Contemp. Math. Sciences, **8**(10), 459-462.

[3] J A Bondy and U S R Murty, (2008). **Graph Theory**, Springer.

[4] A Brandstädt, V B Le and J P Spinard, (1999). **Graph Classes:A Survey**, SIAM, Philadelphia.

[5] A Brandädt, P L Hammer, V B Le and V L Lozin, (2005). *Bisplit graphs*, Disc. Math., **299**(1-3), 11-32.

[6] G Chartrand and P Zhang, (2005). **Introduction to Graph Theory**, McGraw-Hill Inc.

[7] N Deo, (1974). *Graph Theory with Applications to Engineering and Computer Science*, PHI Learning.

[8] J A Gallian, (2011). *A Dynamic Survey of Graph Labelling*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics (DS 16).

[9] K A Germina and T M K Anandavally, (2012). *Integer Additive Set-Indexers of a Graph:Sum Square Graphs*, Journal of Combinatorics, Information and System Sciences, **37**(2-4), 345-358.

[10] K A Germina and N K Sudev, (2013). *On Weakly Uniform Integer Additive Set-Indexers of Graphs*, Int. Math. Forum, **8**(37), 1827-34.

[11] F Harary, (1994). **Graph Theory**, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Inc.

[12] N K Sudev and K A Germina, (2014). *A Characterisation of Weak Integer Additive Set-Indexers of Graphs*, ISPACS Journal of Fuzzy Set-Valued Analysis, Article Id:jfsva-00189, **2014**(2014), 7 pages.

[13] N K Sudev and K A Germina, (2014). *Weak Integer Additive Set-Indexers of certain Graph Operations*, Global J. Math. Sci.;Theory & Practical, **6**(1), 25-35.

[14] D B West, (2001). **Introduction to Graph Theory**, Pearson Education Inc.